# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| In the Matter of the Accusation Against:           | )                |                    |
|--|------------------|--------------------|
| NIELS HELTH LAUERSEN, M.D. Certificate No. C-37842 | )<br>)<br>)<br>) | No: 16-2000-108760 |
|  | )                |                    |
| Respondent   | _)               |                    |

#### **DECISION**

The attached Stipulation for Surrender of License is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on July 18, 2002

JT IS SO ORDERED July 11, 2002

By:

RONALD WENDER, M.D.

Chair - Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California 2 GAIL M. HEPPELL, State Bar No. 84134 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 4 Sacramento, California 94244-2550 5 Telephone: (916) 324-5336 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 8 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 9 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Amended Accusation Case No. 16-2000-108760 12 Against: NIELS HELTH LAUERSEN, M.D. 13 STIPULATION FOR 784 Park Avenue SURRENDER OF LICENSE 14 New York, NY 10021 15 Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-37842, 16 Respondent. 17 18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to 19 the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: 20 Complainant, Ronald Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical 21 Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board") and is represented by Bill 22 Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California by Gail M. Heppell, Supervising Deputy 23 Attorney General. 24 2. Niels Helth Lauersen, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent") is represented by 25 Robert S. Deutsch, Esq. of Aaronson, Rappaport, Feinstein & Deutsch, 757 Third Avenue, 26 Fourth Floor, New York, New York 10017. Respondent has counseled with his attorney 27 concerning the effect of this stipulation which respondent has carefully read and fully 28

9

6

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

24

23

25 26

27 28 understands.

- 3. Respondent has received and read the amended accusation which is presently on file and pending in Case Number 16-2000-108760 before the Board, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.
- Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the amended 4. accusation and that, if proven at hearing, such charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon respondent's license issued by the Board.
- 5. Respondent is aware of each of his rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against respondent, the right to testify and present evidence on his own behalf, as well as to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, the right to contest the charges and allegations, and other rights which are accorded respondent pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code § 11500 et seq.) and other applicable laws, including the right to seek reconsideration, review by the superior court, and appellate review.
- 6. In order to avoid the expense and uncertainty of a hearing, respondent freely and voluntarily waives each and every one of these rights set forth above and agrees that at a hearing, complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges contained in amended accusation No. 16-2000-108760. Respondent agrees that cause exists to discipline his physician and surgeon's license pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 141, and hereby surrenders his license for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 7. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he is enabling the Medical Board of California to issue its order accepting the surrender of his license without further process. He understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation, without notice to or participation by respondent. In the event that this stipulation is rejected for any reason by the Board, it will be of no force or effect for either party. The Board will not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

//

//

//

22 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

//

- 8. Upon acceptance of the stipulation by the Board, respondent understands that he will no longer be permitted to practice as a physician and surgeon in California, and also agrees to surrender and cause to be delivered to the Board both his license and wallet certificate before the effective date of the decision.
- 9. Respondent fully understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for relicensure or reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement, the respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed.
- 10. Respondent understands that he may not petition for reinstatement as a physician and surgeon for a period of three (3) years from the effective date of his surrender. Information gathered in connection with amended accusation number 16-2000-108760 may be considered by the Division of Medical Quality in determining whether or not to petition for reinstatement. For the purposes for the reinstatement hearing, the allegations in amended accusation number 16-2000-108760 shall be deemed to be admitted by respondent, and respondent waives any and all defenses based on a claim of laches.
- 11. All recitals contained in this stipulation are made solely for the purpose of settlement in this proceeding and for any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

DATED:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Exhibit A: Amended Accusation

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I, Niels Helth Lauersen, M.D., have carefully read the above stipulation and enter into it freely and voluntarily and with full knowledge of its force and effect, do hereby surrender my Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-37842, to the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California for its formal acceptance. By signing this stipulation to surrender my license, I recognize that upon its formal acceptance by the Board, I will lose all rights and privileges to practice as a physician and surgeon in the State of California and I also will cause to be delivered to the Board both my license and wallet certificate before the effective date of the decision.

Respondent

I have fully discussed with respondent, Niels Helth Lauersen, M.D., terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulation for Surrender of License and approve its form.

DATED

Attorney for Respondent

#### **ENDORSEMENT**

I concur in the stipulation.

DATED:

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

pervising Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General SACRAMENTO Merch of the State of California 2 GAIL M. HEPPELL, State Bar No. 84134 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125 4 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550 5 Telephone: (916) 324-5336 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 8 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 9 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 In the Matter of the Amended Accusation Against: Case No. 16-2000-108760 13 NIELS HELTH LAUERSEN, M.D. 784 Park Avenue SECOND AMENDED 14 New York, NY 10021 ACCUSATION 15 Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-37842, 16 Respondent. 17 The Complainant alleges: 18 **PARTIES** 19 1. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and brings this amended accusation solely in his official 20 21 capacity. 22 On or about March 1, 1978, Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-37842 was 2. 23 issued by the Board to Niels Helth Lauersen, M.D. (hereafter "respondent"). Said certificate is renewed and current, with an expiration date of September 30, 2001. 24 25 **JURISDICTION** 26 3 This amended accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the

FILED

Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"),

under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code

27

28

(hereinafter "Code"), and/or other relevant statutory enactment:

- A. Section 2227 of the Code provides that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act, and may recover the costs of probation monitoring if probation is imposed.
- B. Section 141 (a) of the Code provides in relevant part that a disciplinary action taken by another state, by any agency of the federal government, or by another country, for any act substantially related to the practice regulated by the California license, may be a ground for a disciplinary action by the Board.
- C. Section 2305 of the Code provides that the revocation, suspension, or other discipline, restriction, or limitation imposed by another state upon a license to practice medicine issued by that state, or the revocation, suspension, or restriction of the authority to practice medicine by any agency of the federal government, that would have been grounds for discipline in California under the Medical Practice Act, constitutes grounds for discipline for unprofessional conduct.
- D. Section 2234 of the Code provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
  - (b) Gross negligence.
  - (c) Repeated negligent acts.
  - (d) Incompetence.
- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- (f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- E. Section 2236 of the Code provides that conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a physician and surgeon

5

8 9

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

26

25

27

28

constitutes unprofessional conduct.

- Section 2266 of the Code provides that the failure of a physician and F. surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.
  - G. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
  - Section 14124.12(a) of the Welfare & Institutions Code provides in H. pertinent part that upon written notice of the Medical Board of California that a physician and surgeon's medical license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, no Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure giving rise to the probationary order and performed on or after the effective date of said probationary order or during the period of probation shall be reimbursed, except upon a prior determination that compelling circumstances warrant the continuance of reimbursement during the probationary period for procedures other than those giving rise to the probationary order.
- 4. Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code sections 2305, 2234(b), 2234 (c), 2234(e), 2236 and 2266, and subject to discipline within the meaning of Code section 141 (a), as set forth in greater detail herein below.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Discipline, Restriction or Limitation Imposed by Another State) [Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 141 (a), 2305, 2234 (c) and 2266]

On or about February 17, 2000, the New York State Department of Health 5. Administrative Review Board for Professional Medical Conduct (hereafter NYARB) issued Determination and Order No. 99-269, placing respondent on probation for two years and imposing a requirement that respondent practice with a monitor and complete a course in medical record keeping. The NYARB based its order upon determining that respondent had practiced medicine with negligence with regard to his treatment and/or care of four patients (listed in records of the

6. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraph 5, above, constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code sections 2305, 2234 (c) and 2266, and subjects his license to discipline within the meaning of Code section 141 (a).

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Discipline, Restriction or Limitation Imposed by Another State) [Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 141(a), 2305, 2234(b), 2234(c), 2234(e)]

PMC-00-286 revoking respondent's license to practice medicine in New York. After a lengthy hearing, the NYARB found that respondent had committed fraud by lying on application forms for recertification from insurance companies and on medical staff applications. Respondent answered intentionally and with intent to deceive "no" to questions regarding whether he was investigated by the Department of Health and whether he had been the subject of a disciplinary proceeding. The NYARB also found that respondent had committed numerous acts of gross negligence and acts of negligence on more than one occasion in his care and treatment of obstetrical and gynecologic patients by performing unnecessary surgeries placing patients at unnecessary risk, repeatedly failing to properly diagnose a patient's condition, performing an excessive number of laparoscopies on one patient, and injuring two babies during delivery by the improper use and application of forceps.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Conviction of a Crime) [Bus. & Prof. Code § 2236]

8. On or about October 15, 2001, respondent pled guilty to counts 1, 2, 3 - 10, 16 - 19, 20, 21 of an indictment in Case No. S2 98 Cr. 1134 (WHP), "United States of America v. Niels Lauersen and Magda Binion, Defendants", before the United States District Court, Southern District of New York. Respondent pled guilty to Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud, Health Care Fraud and False Statements in violation of 18 USC Section 371, Health Care Fraud in violation of 18 USC Sections 1347 and 2, Mail Fraud in violation of 18 USC Sections 1341 and 2, False Statements Relating to Health Care Matters in violation of 18 USC Sections 1035(a) and 2, and Witness Tampering in violation of 18 USC 1412(b). Respondent was committed to the custody of

the United States Bureau of Prisons for 87 months, upon release from imprisonment, supervised release for 2 years, fined \$17,500, and ordered to make restitution in the amount of \$3,240,597.

- A. The facts and circumstances surrounding said conviction are as follows: Between 1997 and 1998, respondent along with the named defendant entered into a conspiracy to defraud health insurance companies. Respondent submitted false and misleading documents to the health insurance companies. Respondent operated a medical office where he provided obstetrical, gynecological and fertility services. He performed, among other procedures, assisted reproductive technology (ART) procedures along with the named defendant who acted an anesthesiologist. During this time period, there were health insurance companies who did not provide coverage for ART procedures; some companies only provided limited coverage. Respondent, in order to obtain money from the health insurance companies, submitted false bills which concealed the nature of the service provided by respondent. From July, 1998 to August, 1998, respondent tried to persuade a patient who had received a grand jury subpoena to falsely state that the ART surgeries respondent performed were emergency surgeries to treat the patient's pain and bleeding, when in truth and in fact such surgeries were not emergency surgeries.
- 9. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraphs 8 and 8A, constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 2236 and subjects his license to discipline.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending physician and surgeon's certificate Number C-37842 heretofore issued to respondent, Niels Helth Lauersen, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of the respondent's authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 3527;
- 3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case and the costs of probation monitoring if probation is imposed; and

| 1  | 4. Taking such other and further action as the Division deems necessary and    |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  | DATED: MACK 18 HOZ   |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  | Ron Joseph Ron Joseph  |
| 7  | Executive Director   |
| 8  | Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California |
| 9  | 41   |
| 10 | Complainant  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | f:\ALL\Heppell\Lauersen-2Am.Acc.wpd  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |